Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise



IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement

Bed Management (BED)

Rev 1.1 - Trial Implementation

20Date:September 9, 2016Author:PCC Technical CommitteeEmail:pcc@ihe.net

5

10

15

25

Please verify you have the most recent version of this document. See <u>here</u> for Trial Implementation and Final Text versions and <u>here</u> for Public Comment versions.

Foreword

This is a supplement to the IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework V10.0. Each
 supplement undergoes a process of public comment and trial implementation before being
 incorporated into the volumes of the Technical Frameworks.

This supplement is published on September 9, 2016 for trial implementation and may be available for testing at subsequent IHE Connectathons. The supplement may be amended based on the results of testing. Following successful testing it will be incorporated into the Patient Care

35 Coordination Technical Framework. Comments are invited and may be submitted at http://www.ihe.net/PCC_Public_Comments.

This supplement describes changes to the existing technical framework documents.

"Boxed" instructions like the sample below indicate to the Volume Editor how to integrate the relevant section(s) into the relevant Technical Framework volume.

40 *Amend Section X.X by the following:*

Where the amendment adds text, make the added text **<u>bold underline</u>**. Where the amendment removes text, make the removed text **<u>bold strikethrough</u>**. When entire new sections are added, introduce with editor's instructions to "add new text" or similar, which for readability are not bolded or underlined.

45

General information about IHE can be found at: http://ihe.net.

Information about the IHE Patient Care Coordination domain can be found at: <u>http://ihe.net/IHE_Domains</u>.

Information about the organization of IHE Technical Frameworks and Supplements and the process used to create them can be found at: <u>http://ihe.net/IHE_Process</u> and <u>http://ihe.net/Profiles</u>.

The current version of the IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework can be found at: <u>http://ihe.net/Technical_Frameworks/</u>.

55 CONTENTS

| | Introduction to this Supplement | 5 |
|----|---|----|
| | Open Issues and Questions | 5 |
| | Closed Issues | |
| 60 | General Introduction | 6 |
| | Appendix A - Actor Summary Definitions | 6 |
| | Appendix B - Transaction Summary Definitions | 6 |
| | Glossary | 6 |
| | Volume 1 – Profiles | 7 |
| 65 | X Bed Management (BED) Profile | 7 |
| | X.1 BED Actors and Transactions | |
| | X.1.1 Actor Descriptions and Actor Profile Requirements | 9 |
| | X.1.1.1 ADT Patient Registration | 9 |
| | X.1.1.2 Emergency Department Information System | 9 |
| 70 | X.1.1.3 Order Placer | 9 |
| | X.1.1.4 Bed Manager | 9 |
| | X.1.1.5 Tracker | 10 |
| | X.2 Actor Options | 10 |
| | X.3 BED Required Actor Groupings | 10 |
| 75 | X.4 BED Overview | 11 |
| | X.4.1 Concepts | 11 |
| | X.4.2 Use Cases | 12 |
| | X.4.2.1 Use Case #1: ED Admission | 12 |
| | X.4.2.1.1 ED Admission Use Case Description | |
| 80 | X.4.2.1.2 ED Admission Process Flow | 14 |
| | X.4.2.2 Use Case #2: Admit for Observation | 15 |
| | X.4.2.2.1 Admit for Observation Use Case Description | |
| | X.5 BED Security Considerations | |
| | X.6 BED Cross Profile Considerations | 16 |
| 85 | Volume 2 – Transactions | |
| | 3.23 Admission Notification [PCC-23] | 17 |
| | 3.23.1 Scope | 17 |
| | 3.23.2 Actor Roles | 17 |
| | 3.23.3 Referenced Standards | 17 |
| 90 | 3.23.4 Interaction Diagram | |
| | 3.23.4.1 Admit Patient - ADT^A01^ADT_A01 | 18 |
| | 3.23.4.1.1 Trigger Event | 18 |
| | 3.23.4.1.2 Message Static Definition | 18 |
| | 3.23.4.1.3 Common Message Segment Constraints | 20 |
| 95 | 3.23.4.1.4 Expected actions – Admission Reporter | 22 |
| | 3.23.4.1.5 Expected actions – Admission Tracker | |
| | 3.23.4.1.6 Expected actions – Tracker | 23 |

IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement – Bed Management (BED)

| | 3.23.5 Security Considerations | 23 |
|-----|---|----|
| | 3.23.5.1 Security Audit Record | 23 |
| 100 | 3.23.5.1.1 Admission audit message | 23 |
| | 3.24 Admission Order [PCC-24] | |
| | 3.24.1 Scope | |
| | 3.24.2 Actor Roles | |
| | 3.24.3 Referenced Standards | |
| 105 | 3.24.4 Interaction Diagram | |
| | 3.24.4.1 Admission Order | |
| | 3.24.4.1.1 Trigger Events | |
| | 3.24.4.1.2 Message Semantics | |
| | 3.24.4.1.3 Expected Actions – Order Placer | |
| 110 | 3.24.4.1.4 Expected Actions – Bed Manager | |
| | 3.24.4.1.5 Expected Actions – Tracker | |
| | 3.24.4.1.6 Expected Actions – Emergency Department Information System | |
| | 3.24.5 Security Considerations | |
| | 3.24.5.1 Security Audit Record | |
| 115 | 3.24.5.1.1 Admission audit message | |
| | 3.25 Patient Movement [PCC-25] | |
| | 3.25.1 Scope | |
| | 3.25.2 Actor Roles | |
| | 3.25.3 Referenced Standards | |
| 120 | 3.25.4 Interaction Diagram | |
| | 3.25.4.1 Patient Movement | |
| | 3.25.5 Security Considerations | |
| | 3.25.5.1 Security Audit Record | |
| | 3.25.5.1.1 Admission audit message | |
| | | |

Introduction to this Supplement

The purpose of this supplement is to add support for integration of bed management with information systems in the hospital and ED environment to enable better utilization of beds and staff in the care of patients, and to provide better care.

Open Issues and Questions

Closed Issues

- 1. Do we have options? If not, we should at least say so in the Options Section, that appears to be missing.
- 135

- We do not have any options.
- 2. Need to incorporate by reference 3.31.4 from ITI-2b, review PAM w/ ITI We have incorporated the reference.
- 3. Consider how this profile could be used in settings other than the ED. Do we want to increase scope?
- 140
- a. We have not increased scope, billing is noted as being specifically out of scope.
- 4. Should Order Placer be grouped with EDIS? No. It is not explicitly grouped to enable a separate CPOE and EDIS system to be integrated.
- 5. What concepts do we need to describe? Moved some content from overview to concepts.
- 145 6. What should we call the ADT Tracker Actor? Renamed to Tracker.
 - 7. How do we integrate this with the IHE ITI PAM Profile?
 - a. Should this profile's actors use PAM Actor names? No
 - b. Should we require grouping with PAM Actors for certain transactions? No
 - 8. What do we do about discharge?
- 150
- a. We placed this out of scope (could be a profile for next year because we have to integrate with discharge planning).

General Introduction

155

Update the following Appendices to the General Introduction as indicated below. Note that these are not appendices to Volume 1.

Appendix A - Actor Summary Definitions

Add the following actors to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction list of actors:

| Actor | Definition | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Tracker | System that receives duplicate copies of messages to enable real-time decision-support and analytics. | | |
| Bed ManagerA hospital or enterprise-wide system used to coordinate the identification and requis beds for incoming admissions and transfers and manage intra- and inter-hospital tran | | | |
| Emergency Department Information System | A departmental system that supports management of the course of care in the ED and supports communications with other hospital systems. | | |

Appendix B - Transaction Summary Definitions

160

165

Add the following transactions to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction list of Transactions:

| Transaction | Definition |
|---------------------------------|---|
| [PCC-23] Admission Notification | The Admission notification transaction notifies actors about admission of a patient to a bed. |
| [PCC-24] Admission Order | The Admission Order transaction notifies actors about the intention to admit a patient to a bed. |
| [PCC-25] Patient Movement | The Patient Movement transaction communicates information about movement of a patient from one location to another. |

Glossary

Add the following glossary terms to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Glossary:

None.

Volume 1 – Profiles

X Bed Management (BED) Profile

This transport profile facilitates bed management for patients admitted from the ED.

- 170 Inefficiencies in transferring patients between the emergency department and inpatient setting result in poorer patient outcomes (e.g., morbidity, mortality or length of stay), increased patient dissatisfaction and poorer resource utilization in the ED. This profile describes how communications between the ED and various inpatient systems can improve care, reduce cost and result in higher patient satisfaction, and enable tracking and monitoring of these activities to automate available tracking and monitoring of these activities to
- 175 support quality improvement activities.

This profile does not address how charge information is transferred from various involved systems to the back office, or how discharge or transfers from the inpatient setting to other settings are handled.


X.1 BED Actors and Transactions

180 This section defines the actors and transactions, and/or content modules in this profile. General definitions of actors are given in the Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix A at http://ihe.net/Technical_Frameworks.

Figure X.1-1 shows the actors directly involved in the BED Profile and the relevant transactions between them. If needed for context, other actors that may be indirectly involved due to their

185 participation in other related profiles are shown in dotted lines. Actors which have a mandatory grouping are shown in conjoined boxes.

190



200

Figure X.1-1: BED Actor Diagram

Table X.1-1 lists the transactions for each actor directly involved in the BED Profile. To claim compliance with this profile, an actor shall support all required transactions (labeled "R") and may support the optional transactions (labeled "O").

205

Table X.1-1: BED Profile - Actors and Transactions

| Actors | Transactions | Opt. | Reference | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|--|
| ADT Patient Registration | [PCC-23] Admission Notification | R | PCC TF-2: 3.23 | |
| Emergency | [PCC-23] Admission Notification | R | PCC TF-2: 3.23 | |
| Department Information | [PCC-24] Admission Order | R | PCC TF-2: 3.24 | |
| System | [PCC-25] Patient Movement | R | PCC TF-2: 3.25 | |
| Order Placer | [PCC-24] Admission Order | R | PCC TF-2: 3.24 | |
| | [PCC-25] Patient Movement | R | PCC TF-2: 3. 25 | |
| Bed Manager | [PCC-23] Admission Notification | R | PCC TF-2: 3.23 | |
| | [PCC-24] Preadmit/Admit Order | R | PCC TF-2: 3.24 | |
| | [PCC-25] Patient Movement | R | PCC TF-2: 3.25 | |
| Tracker | [PCC-23] Admission Notification | R | PCC TF-2: 3.23 | |
| | [PCC-24] Admission Order | R | PCC TF-2: 3.24 | |
| | [PCC-25] Patient Movement | R | PCC TF-2: 3.25 | |

X.1.1 Actor Descriptions and Actor Profile Requirements

X.1.1.1 ADT Patient Registration

On admission of a patient to an emergency department bed, the ADT Patient Registration actor sends the PCC-23 Admission Notification transaction to the Emergency Department Information System, the Bed Manager, and the Tracker actors.

X.1.1.2 Emergency Department Information System

When the patient is moved from the ED to another location, the Emergency Department
Information System actor sends the PCC-25 Patient Movement transaction to the Bed Manager
and the Tracker actors. It is also responsible for updating the patient location when it receives a similar message from the Bed Manager indicating a new location for a patient.

X.1.1.3 Order Placer

When a physician communicates a heads up notification the Order Placer actor communicates it to the Bed Manager and the Tracker actors using the PCC-24 Admission Order transaction.
When the order for patient admission is placed, it is also sent by the Order Placer to the Bed Manager and the Tracker actors using the PCC-24 Admission Order transaction.

X.1.1.4 Bed Manager

The Bed Manager:

225

1. Tracks patient locations through receipt of Admission Notification and Patient Movement transactions.

- 2. On receipt of an Admission Order, the Bed Manager assigns a bed to a patient and communicates that assignment to other actors also using the PCC-24 Admission Order transaction.
- 3. Upon receipt of an Admission Order that is just a heads up notification, the Bed Manager may take appropriate actions to ensure a bed will be available for the patient should they be admitted (e.g., notifying the Bed Czar, updating staffing needs, et cetera).

X.1.1.5 Tracker

The Tracker receives duplicate copies of each message sent in this profile to enable real-time bed management decision-support. This can include dashboard display of patient flow indicators, rule-based alerts for ED crowding, or support development of comprehensive bed management

235

230

and staffing analytics.

X.2 Actor Options

There are no options for actors in this profile.

Table X.2-1: Bed Management - Actors and Options

| Actor | Option Name | Reference | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| ADT Patient Registration | No options defined | | | | |
| Emergency Department Information System | No options defined | | | | |
| Order Placer | No options defined | | | | |
| Bed Manager | No options defined | | | | |
| Tracker | No options defined | | | | |

X.3 BED Required Actor Groupings

There are no required groupings for this profile.

245

Table X.3-1: Bed Management - Required Actor Groupings

| BED Actor | Actor to be grouped with | Reference | Content Bindings Reference |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| ADT Patient Registration | None | | |
| Emergency Department Information System | None | | |
| Order Placer | None | | |
| Bed Manager | None | | |
| Tracker | None | | |

X.4 BED Overview

The goal of this profile is to document the data exchange between interoperable systems that would enable bed management for patients admitted from the emergency department.

250 X.4.1 Concepts

255

260

265

270

Access to robust bed management functionality is a significant need within inpatient settings. Bed management is the ability for a healthcare facility or system to have real-time operational awareness of the status of all of its available beds and to be able to plan for the expedient use of beds through coordination of the bed turnover process. Beds are a scarce resource, and the limitation of access to beds leads to delays and waste within healthcare systems.

The maturity of bed management systems in use varies from hospital to hospital – from limited real-time awareness by a central bed authority (bed czar) to a robust, integrated health IT product which aids predictive planning and communication between various teams, and optimizes the availability of beds. Bed management IT products can be commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) products or home-grown. They can be separate IT packages or integrated functionality within an all-in-one health IT solution. While the solutions vary in terms of scale and degree of integration, the following set of functional components (although incorporated into different IT products in

each site) is common to most systems:

• The patient registration system which stores data on ED visits and inpatient admissions and is the system of record for length of stay for billing purposes,

- The CPOE system which practitioners use to place orders, e.g., for orders for admission,
- The Emergency Department Information System (EDIS) which practitioners use to track care of patients, and
- The bed management system (BMS) which provides real-time awareness of the status of beds (clean, dirty, occupied, reserved, etc.)

As an example, an estimated 420,000 patients are admitted per year from Veterans Health Administration (VHA) EDs; they represent 17% of the nearly 2.4 million ED visits.¹ The VHA has developed standalone home-grown applications to monitor patient flow: EDIS, BMS operate alongside the VHA's EHR - CPRS/VistA (which includes a patient registration system).

275 However these three nationally-sanctioned IT products do not share data among themselves.

- The current lack of interoperability between EDIS, BMS and patient registration systems creates several interrelated problems:
- Inefficiency for ED providers and staff who must enter or relay the same information to multiple information systems with no mechanism to monitor patient status in real time,

¹ Veterans Health Administration corporate data warehouse, unpublished data.

- 280
- Delays in patient transfers to inpatient units associated with patient transfer messaging failures and rework
- Poor data quality with which to measure the performance of patient transfer and care • coordination systems due to siloed data
- Delays have financial and clinical costs. In a 2011 study, Pines et al. found that a one-hour decrease in ED boarding times resulted in approximately \$10,000 per day of increased revenue at 285 their inner-city teaching hospital.² It is probable that financial benefits would also be achieved in other hospital settings if post-admission delays were decreased in a manner similar to the one highlighted in this study. In addition, prolonged post-admission delays are associated with increased mortality and length of stay in the hospital, even controlling for acuity and comorbid conditions.³
- 290

In order to adequately manage resources, information about current or possible admissions needs to be provided. Resources include not only beds, but nursing, physician and other staff (e.g., a sitter may be needed for agitated patients) necessary to ensure adequate care. The necessary information includes the reason for admission, isolation precautions necessary for adequate care

295 of the patient and other patients around them, the expected time of admission or surgery, the level of care (often called acuity), and any necessary non-clinical precautions that need to be accounted for. Additional information such as the expected discharge date, estimated length of stay or expected discharge disposition can also be helpful in managing the necessary resources to care for the patient.

X.4.2 Use Cases 300

Two use cases describe the need for capabilities expressed in this profile.

- Admission to the Inpatient Setting
- Admission for Observation

These are described in more detail below.

305 X.4.2.1 Use Case #1: ED Admission

The ED Admission use case describes a scenario where a patient in the ED is known at some point during the ED encounter to need to be admitted. It illustrates the points at which the Emergency Department Information System communicates to Bed Management.

² Pines JM, Batt RJ, Hilton JA, Terwiesch C. The Financial Consequences of Lost Demand and Reducing Boarding in Hospital Emergency Departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2011 Oct;58(4):331-40.

³ Singer AJ, Thode HC, Jr., Viccellio P, Pines JM. The association between length of emergency department boarding and mortality. Acad Emerg Med. 2011;18(12):1324-9.

X.4.2.1.1 ED Admission Use Case Description

- 310 Mrs. Penny arrives for care in the ED where an administrative clerk creates an outpatient visit (admits) Mrs. Penny in the ADT system for the ED, which is part of the EHR system. This populates EDIS with Mrs. Penny's name and visit information. Mrs. Penny is seen by Nurse Nickel, the triage nurse. Mrs. Penny receives care in the ED from Dr. Dent, who records himself as the provider of record in EDIS. During early care of Mrs. Penny, a nurse or doctor identifies
- 315 that Mrs. Penny's complaint is likely to result in an inpatient admission. Dr. Dent or Nurse Nickel can create a "heads up" notification in the CPOE system to communicate the potential of an admission for Mrs. Penny to BMS. This is not a formal admission order because the patient may not be fully stabilized for admission and the clinician may not know at this time all the information necessary for an admission order (for example: service, team, level of care, and
- 320 isolation or cohabitation needs). The action of creating a "heads up" notification updates a list of patients in BMS to give operational awareness of an estimate of total pending admissions from the ED.

Care proceeds, and eventually Dr. Dent decides to admit Mrs. Penny to the hospital. At this time, he enters the details of the admission into the CPOE system, including the necessary information

- 325 regarding her bed requirements (for example: service, team, level of care, and isolation or cohabitation needs). Cohabitation and/or Isolation needs are determined using clinical decision support accomplished via patient information retrieved from Mrs. Penny's EHR; for instance, recommending isolation if data in the EHR reveals that the patient is colonized with Methicillin-resistant Staph aureus (MRSA). Dr. Dent's admission entry in EDIS updates Mrs. Penny's status
- to display in EDIS as "admitted, waiting bed assignment." It also creates a formal admission order in the EHR as well as a pending bed request in BMS. (Mrs. Penny is removed from the "heads up" list of patients if placed there earlier.) The information in the admission order/pending bed request identifies Mrs. Penny's ED care team as Dr. Dent and Nurse Nickel, and this information is updated in real time in EDIS with ED staff changes
- 335 The task of identifying an appropriate bed for Mrs. Penny involves coordination with individual wards and bed cleaning staff to locate available (or soon-to-be available) beds. Inpatient discharges and bed cleaning activities change bed statuses within BMS. The bed management administrator uses this information to identify the likely future bed for Mrs. Penny and enters the potential bed assignment into BMS. The potential bed assignment is sent from BMS to EDIS,
- 340 where it is visible to ED staff. After report of Mrs. Penny's ED course of care is communicated between ED and floor nurses, ED administrative staff update Mrs. Penny's location in the ADT system from the ED to the ward. When Mrs. Penny leaves the ED, the ED administrator records her departure from the ED in EDIS. When Mrs. Penny arrives on the ward, the ward clerk enters in BMS that she has arrived on the ward for care.
- 345 While all of these activities are occurring, the bed management system is able to display in dashboards and by facility location the current and projected utilization of beds, and staffing needs can also be projected for the near future.

X.4.2.1.2 ED Admission Process Flow

The interaction diagram shown in Figure 1 illustrates the transactions used in a profile that supports Storyboard 1. These include the following existing HL7^{®4} Messages:

- Admit a Patient to the ED
- Pending Admit
- Admission Status Update
- Pending Transfer

355

365

- Admit/Visit Notification
 - Patient Departing Tracking
 - Patient Arriving Tracking

The diagram also includes a new transaction:

- Pending Admit "Heads-up" Notification
- 360 This transaction uses the optional Event Reason Code field in the EVN message segment (updates user-defined table 0062) to indicate that the event type is a "heads-up" notification rather than a formal admit.

All messages are acknowledged and duplicates of each message can be sent to the Tracker. In order to improve readability, neither acknowledgements nor duplicated messages are shown in the interaction diagram in Figure X.4.2.1.2-1.

⁴ HL7 is the registered trademark of Health Level Seven International.




Figure X.4.2.1.2-1: ED Admission Process Interaction Diagram

X.4.2.2 Use Case #2: Admit for Observation

370 X.4.2.2.1 Admit for Observation Use Case Description

In Use Case 1, Mrs. Penny is admitted. However, there are also cases where Mrs. Penny might first be admitted for observation before she is then sent home or admitted to the hospital. An admission for observation consumes a bed, but often only for a short stay (less than a day). In some facilities, observation wards are available which are typically only used for patients under observation. However, other facilities may use a regular bed for patient observation. The patient

375 observation. However, other facilities may use a regular bed for patient observation. The patient may then transition from observation to being discharged, or being admitted as an inpatient.

In this use case the same messages as shown in Use Case 1 can also be used to support admissions for observation. The patient class and bed location recorded in those messages is simply adjusted to account for the fact that the admission is to an observation ward rather than a regular inpatient ward.

380

X.5 BED Security Considerations

Actors in the BED Profile create, modify and consume patient demographics, clinical and administrative information which includes personally identifiable health information. This information must be protected against unauthorized access, modification or tampering. This

385 profile recommends but does not require that connections between actors be grouped with the Secure Node or Secure Application Actors from the IHE ATNA Profile.

These actors ensure appropriate user authentication and authorization to access the application, and protect personally identifiable health information against unauthorized access, modification or tampering while the information is in transit.

390 X.6 BED Cross Profile Considerations

The BED Profile is designed to work alongside the IHE IT Infrastructure PAM Profile, but has differing requirements upon what actors are used and additional requirements for the messages that they send.

PAM - Patient Identity Source

395 A Patient Identity Source from the IT Infrastructure Patient Administration Management profile may be grouped with an ADT Patient Registration to provide additional patient identity administration capabilities.

PAM – Patient Identity Consumer

A Patient Identity Consumer from the IT Infrastructure Patient Administration Management
 profile may be grouped with an Emergency Department Information System to provide
 additional patient identity administration capabilities.

PAM – Patient Encounter Supplier

A Patient Encounter Supplier from the IT Infrastructure Patient Administration Management profile may be grouped with an ADT Patient Registration to provide additional encounter administration capabilities.

PAM – Patient Encounter Consumer

A Patient Encounter Consumer from the IT Infrastructure Patient Administration Management profile may be grouped with an Emergency Department Information System to provide additional encounter administration capabilities.

410

Volume 2 – Transactions

Add Section 3.23, 3.24, and 3.25

3.23 Admission Notification [PCC-23]

3.23.1 Scope

415 This transaction is used to notify actors of admission to an emergency department, inpatient or observation bed.

3.23.2 Actor Roles




Figure 3.23.2-1: Use Case Diagram

420

Table 3.23.2-1: Actor Roles

| Actor: | ADT Patient Registration |
|--------|---|
| Role: | Admission Reporter (Admission to ED) |
| Role: | Admission Tracker (Admission to Hospital/Observation Ward) |
| Actor: | Tracker |
| Role: | Admission Tracker |
| Actor: | Emergency Department Information System |
| Role: | Admission Tracker |
| Actor: | Bed Manager |
| Role: | Admission Reporter (Admission to Hospital/Observation Ward) |

3.23.3 Referenced Standards

HL7 Version 2.5

425 3.23.4 Interaction Diagram




Figure 3.23.4-1: Interactions of Transaction PCC-23

3.23.4.1 Admit Patient - ADT^A01^ADT_A01

430 Upon patient admission to the ED, the ADT Patient Registration or the Bed Manager in the Admission Reporter role shall send an ADT A01 message using the ADT_A01 structure to the Emergency Department Information System and Tracker Actors in the Admission Tracker Roles. These actors shall be configured to receive such messages.

3.23.4.1.1 Trigger Event

435 This message is sent by the ADT Registration to the Emergency Department Information System and Tracker Actors to communicate the admission of a patient to the ED, or from the Bed Manager to the Emergency Department Information System and Tracker Actors to communicate the admission of a patient to a bed in an inpatient setting (e.g., the ED) or an observation ward.

MSH-9 is valued **ADT^A01^ADT_A01**.

440 **3.23.4.1.2 Message Static Definition**

The static definition of this message appears in the table below. The structure of common message segments is further defined in the section following.

| Segment | Meaning | Usage | Card. | HL7 chapter |
|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| MSH | Message Header | R | [11] | 2 |
| SFT | Software Segment | 0 | [0*] | 2 |
| EVN | Event Type | R | [11] | 2 |
| PID | Patient Identification | R | [11] | 3 |
| PD1 | Additional Demographics | 0 | [01] | 3 |

Table 3.23.4.1.2-1: Static definition of ADT^A01^ADT_A01

| Segment | Meaning | Usage | Card. | HL7 chapter |
|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| ROL | Role | 0 | [0*] | 15 |
| NK1 | Next of Kin / Associated Parties | 0 | [0*] | 3 |
| PV1 | Patient Visit | R | [11] | 3 |
| PV2 | Patient Visit – Additional Info | R | [11] | 3 |
| ROL | Role | 0 | [0*] | 15 |
| DB1 | Disability Information | 0 | [0*] | 3 |
| OBX | Observation/Result | 0 | [0*] | 7 |
| AL1 | Allergy Information | 0 | [0*] | 3 |
| DG1 | Diagnosis Information | 0 | [0*] | 6 |
| DRG | Diagnosis Related Group | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| | PROCEDURE begin | 0 | [0*] | |
| PR1 | Procedures | R | [11] | 6 |
| ROL | Role | 0 | [0*] | 15 |
| | PROCEDURE end | | | |
| GT1 | Guarantor | 0 | [0*] | 6 |
| | INSURANCE begin | | [0*] | |
| IN1 | Insurance | R | [11] | 6 |
| IN2 | Insurance Additional Info. | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| IN3 | Insurance Additional Info - Cert. | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| ROL | DL Role | | [0*] | 15 |
| | INSURANCE end | | | |
| ACC | Accident Information | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| UB1 | Universal Bill Information | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| UB2 | Universal Bill 92 Information | 0 | [01] | 6 |
| PDA | PDA Patient Death and Autopsy | | [01] | 3 |

445

455

This section below describes the format of common message segments used in ADT messages for transactions in the Bed Management (BED) Integration Profile. These segments appear in messages found in the [PCC-23] Admission, [PCC-24] Patient Status Notification, [PCC-25] Bed Notification and [PCC-25] Admit Order transactions found in this document.

- The MSH, EVN, PID, PV1, OBX, ROL, and AL1 segments are all as defined in ITI TF-2b:
 3.30.5 Common HL7 Message Segments. The ZBE segment is as defined in ITI TF-2b: 3.31.6
 Common HL7 Message Segments.
 - Note: When used in the ITI-30 transaction, the PV1 Segment in ITI-30 is specified to be a Pseudo-PV1 which contains only Patient Class. However, the definition of this segment in ITI-30 allows for broader use, presenting more information necessary to manage the encounter (for example, as used in ITI-31). It is those latter constraints that must be followed in messages used by this profile.

In addition to those constraints, the PV2 segments are also constrained as follows:

3.23.4.1.3 Common Message Segment Constraints

Standard Reference: HL7 Version 2.5, Chapter 3 (Section 3.4.4)

460 In transactions PCC-23, PCC-24, and PCC-25, the PV2 segment may be used to convey additional data about the admission. The table below shows the constraints on this segment. Items in bold represent additional constraints created for this transaction.

| Table | 3.23.4.1 | .3-1: PV2 | Segment |
|-------|----------|-----------|---------|
|-------|----------|-----------|---------|

| SEQ | LEN | DT | OPT | RP/# | TBL# | ITEM# | ELEMENT NAME | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|---|--|
| 1 | 80 | PL | С | | | 00181 | Prior Pending Location | |
| | | | | Y | 0129 | | | |
| 2 | 250 | CE | 0 | | 0129 | 00182 | Accommodation Code | |
| 3 | 250 | CE | RE | | | 00183 | Admit Reason | |
| 4 | 250 | CE | 0 | | | 00184 | Transfer Reason | |
| 5 | 25 | ST | 0 | Y | | 00185 | Patient Valuables | |
| 6 | 25 | ST | 0 | | | 00186 | Patient Valuables Location | |
| 7 | 2 | IS | RE | Y | 0130 | 00187 | Visit User Code | |
| 8 | 26 | TS | RE | | | 00188 | Expected Admit Date/Time | |
| 9 | 26 | TS | 0 | | | 00189 | Expected Discharge Date/Time | |
| 10 | 3 | NM | 0 | | | 00711 | Estimated Length of Inpatient Stay | |
| 11 | 3 | NM | 0 | | | 00712 | Actual Length of Inpatient Stay | |
| 12 | 50 | ST | 0 | | | 00713 | Visit Description | |
| 13 | 250 | XCN | 0 | Y | | 00714 | Referral Source Code | |
| 14 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 00715 | Previous Service Date | |
| 15 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00716 | Employment Illness Related Indicator | |
| 16 | 1 | IS | 0 | | 0213 | 00717 | Purge Status Code | |
| 17 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 00718 | Purge Status Date | |
| 18 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0214 | 00719 | Special Program Code | |
| 19 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00720 | Retention Indicator | |
| 20 | 1 | NM | 0 | | | 00721 | Expected Number of Insurance Plans | |
| 21 | 1 | IS | 0 | | 0215 | 00722 | Visit Publicity Code | |
| 22 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00723 | Visit Protection Indicator | |
| 23 | 250 | XON | 0 | Y | | 00724 | Clinic Organization Name | |
| 24 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0216 | 00725 | Patient Status Code | |
| 25 | 1 | IS | 0 | | 0217 | 00726 | Visit Priority Code | |
| 26 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 00727 | Previous Treatment Date | |
| 27 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0112 | 00728 | Expected Discharge Disposition | |
| 28 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 00729 | Signature on File Date | |

| SEQ | LEN | DT | OPT | RP/# | TBL# | ITEM# | ELEMENT NAME |
|-----|-----|----|-----|------|------|-------|--|
| 29 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 00730 | First Similar Illness Date |
| 30 | 250 | CE | 0 | | 0218 | 00731 | Patient Charge Adjustment Code |
| 31 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0219 | 00732 | Recurring Service Code |
| 32 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00733 | Billing Media Code |
| 33 | 26 | TS | RE | | | 00734 | Expected Surgery Date and Time |
| 34 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00735 | Military Partnership Code |
| 35 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00736 | Military Non-Availability Code |
| 36 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00737 | Newborn Baby Indicator |
| 37 | 1 | ID | 0 | | 0136 | 00738 | Baby Detained Indicator |
| 38 | 250 | CE | 0 | | 0430 | 01543 | Mode of Arrival Code |
| 39 | 250 | CE | 0 | Y | 0431 | 01544 | Recreational Drug Use Code |
| 40 | 250 | CE | RE | | 0432 | 01545 | Admission Level of Care Code |
| 41 | 250 | CE | RE | Y | 0433 | 01546 | Precaution Code |
| 42 | 250 | CE | 0 | | 0434 | 01547 | Patient Condition Code |
| 43 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0315 | 00759 | Living Will Code |
| 44 | 2 | IS | 0 | | 0316 | 00760 | Organ Donor Code |
| 45 | 250 | CE | 0 | Y | 0435 | 01548 | Advance Directive Code |
| 46 | 8 | DT | 0 | | | 01549 | Patient Status Effective Date |
| | | | | Y | 0534 | | |
| 47 | 26 | TS | С | | | 01550 | Expected LOA Return Date/Time |
| 48 | 26 | TS | 0 | | | 01841 | Expected Pre-admission Testing Date/Time |
| 49 | 20 | IS | 0 | | | 01842 | Notify Clergy Code |

PV2-3 Admit Reason (CE), Required but may be empty

The admission reason shall contain a coded description of the disease, injury or other reason for admission when known. Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

470 field, although it need not be sent in all cases

PV2-7 Visit User Code (IS), Required but may be empty

The visit user code shall contain a coded description of the isolation needs of the patient when these are known. This is used to indicate the isolation or other infectious disease prevention requirements for the patient. Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

PV2-8 Expected Admit Date/Time (TS), Required but may be empty

The date and time of the expected admission must be provided when it can be estimated. This value is used by bed management to prioritize allocation of beds and staff. Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

480 PV2-9 Expected Discharge Date/Time (TS), Optional

The date and time of the expected discharge may be provided when it can be estimated. This value is used by bed management to project the need for beds and staff.

PV2-10 Estimated Length of Inpatient Stay (NM), Optional

The expected length of the stay may be provided when it can be estimated. This value is used bybed management to project the need for beds and staff.

PV2-27 Expected Discharge Disposition (IS), Optional

The expected discharge disposition may be provided when it can be determined. This value is used by bed management to project the need for beds and staff, and to facilitate discharge planning. For example, when a patient is admitted from a skilled nursing facility, early discharge activity is needed to ensure that a bed will still be available for that patient when they are

490 a

discharged from the hospital.

PV2-33 Expected Surgery Date and Time (TS), Required but may be empty

When it is known that surgery is needed, the date and time of any expected surgery must be provided where it can be estimated. This value is used by bed management to prioritize
allocation of beds and staff in the operating room setting. Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

PV2-40 Admission Level of Care Code (CE), Required but may be empty

The admission level of care code shall be provided when it can be determined. It is also known as the patient acuity score, and provides an assessment of the level of care needed. This

500 information is used to determine the kind of bed and staffing skills need to care for the patient. Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

PV2-41 Precaution Code (CE), Required but may be empty

The precaution code shall be provided when it can be determined. This field is only to be used for non-clinical precautions. This information is used to determine the kind of bed and staffing skills needed to care for the patient (e.g., patients who are agitated may need a sitter). Systems must be able to demonstrate the capability to populate this field, although it need not be sent in all cases.

3.23.4.1.4 Expected actions – Admission Reporter

510 The actors in the role of Admission Reporter shall populate this message with information as described in Table 3.23.4.1.2-1 above. The actor shall include a PV2 Segment as described in the previous section. This includes information about Admit Reason, Visit User Code, Expected Admit Date/Time, Expected Surgery Date/Time, Admission Level of Care, and Precaution Code.

It may also include Expected Discharge Date/Time, Estimated Length of Inpatient Stay, and Expected Discharge Disposition.

3.23.4.1.5 Expected actions – Admission Tracker

Actors in the Admission Tracker role shall add this new visit to its database, adding the patient when necessary, and shall report the result of this operation (success / error) in an acknowledgment message returned to the sender.

520 3.23.4.1.6 Expected actions – Tracker

The Tracker shall acknowledge receipt of this message. It has no other expected actions defined by this profile.

3.23.5 Security Considerations

ADT Messages used in this transaction are typically communicated within an institution. The actors using this transaction may be grouped with a Secure Node or Secure Application Actor as defined in the IHE Audit Trail and Node Authentication (ATNA) Integration Profile.

3.23.5.1 Security Audit Record

When grouped with the Secure Node or Secure Application Actors from ATNA, actors in this profile must generate audit messages. This transaction is to be audited as "Patient Care Episode" event, as defined in ITI TF-2a: Table 3.20.6-1. The following tables show items that are required to be part of the audit record for this transaction.

3.23.5.1.1 Admission audit message

| | Field Name | Opt | Value Constraints | |
|---|--------------------------|-----|---|--|
| Event | EventID | М | EV(IHE0004, IHE, "Patient Care Episode") | |
| AuditMessage/ EventIdentification | EventActionCode | М | "C" (create) | |
| Eventidentification | EventDateTime | М | not specialized | |
| | EventOutcomeIndicator | М | not specialized | |
| | EventTypeCode | М | EV("PCC-23", "IHE Transactions", "Patient Admission") | |
| Source (ADT P | atient Registration) (1) | | | |
| Destination (Emergency Department Information System or Tracker or both) (1*) | | | | |
| Audit Source (1) | | | | |
| Patient (1) | | | | |

Where:

| () Here: | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Source | UserID | U | not specialized |
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110153, DCM, "Source") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

535

IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement – Bed Management (BED)

| Destination | UserID | U | not specialized |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110152, DCM, "Destination") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

| Audit Source | AuditSourceID | U | not specialized |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| AuditMessage/ AuditSourceIdentification | AuditEnterpriseSiteID | U | not specialized |
| Multipolicerdentification | AuditSourceTypeCode | U | not specialized |

| Human | UserID | М | identity of the human that initiated the transaction. |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----|---|
| Requestor (if | AlternativeUserID | U | not specialized |
| known) | UserName | U | not specialized |
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | U | Access Control role(s) the user holds that allows this transaction. |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | NA | |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | NA | |

| Patient | ParticipantObjectTypeCode | М | "1" (person) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| (AuditMessage/ ParticipantObjectIdentif | ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole | М | "1" (patient) |
| ication) | ParticipantObjectDataLifeCycle | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode | М | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectSensitivity | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectID | М | the patient ID in HL7 CX format (see ITI TF-2x: appendix E) |
| | ParticipantObjectName | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectQuery | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectDetail | М | Type=II (the literal string), Value=the value of message.id |

540 If a patient record is created or updated as a result of this admission the ADT Patient Registration must also record the Patient Record creation or Update event, and shall include the Source, Destination, Audit Source, Human Requestor and Patient as specified above.

| | Field Name | Opt | Value Constraints | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|---|--|--|--|
| Event | EventID | М | EV(110110, DCM, "Patient Record") | | | |
| AuditMessage/ EventIdentification | EventActionCode | М | "C" (create), "U" (update) | | | |
| Eventeentheution | EventDateTime | М | not specialized | | | |
| | EventOutcomeIndicator | М | not specialized | | | |
| | EventTypeCode | М | EV("PCC-23", "IHE Transactions", "Patient Admission") | | | |
| Source (ADT Pa | Source (ADT Patient Registration) (1) | | | | | |


Destination (Emergency Department Information System or Tracker or both) (1..*) Audit Source (ADT Patient Registration) (1) Patient (1)

3.24 Admission Order [PCC-24]

3.24.1 Scope

This transaction is used to notify other actors of proposed or actual admission orders.

3.24.2 Actor Roles



550

Figure 3.24.2-1: Use Case Diagram


Table 3.24.2-1: Actor Roles

| Actor: | Bed Manager |
|--------|---|
| Actor: | Tracker |
| Actor: | Order Placer |
| Actor: | Emergency Department Information System |

3.24.3 Referenced Standards

555 HL7 Version 2.5

3.24.4 Interaction Diagram



3.24.4.1 Admission Order

560 The Order Placer sends this message to notify the Bed Manager of a proposed (Heads Up) or actual admission order. The Bed Manager sends this message to the Emergency Department Information System to update information about the status of an assigned bed related to a previously sent order.

3.24.4.1.1 Trigger Events

565 When the healthcare provider orders an admission to an inpatient or observation ward, the Order Placer sends this message to the Tracker and the Bed Manager actors.

When a provider suspects that a patient may need a bed, but is not yet certain, he or she may also communicate this information (a Heads Up notification), which is sent by the Order Placer actor to the Tracker and Bed Manager actors.

570 When a bed is assigned to the patient, but the patient has not yet been formally admitted, the Bed Manager actor sends this message to communicate the location of the assigned bed to the Emergency Department Information System actor.

MSH-9 is valued **ADT^A14^ADT_A05**.

When used as a Heads Up notification, EVN-4 shall be valued with HU, indicating that this is a heads up notification, not an actual admission order.

3.24.4.1.2 Message Semantics

Message semantics are as specified in ITI-31 Patient Encounter Management as described in ITI TF-2b: 3.31.7.13 Pending Admit (ADT^A14^ADT_A05). The PV2 segment shall be populated as indicated in Section 3.23.4.1.3 of transaction PCC-23 in this technical framework.

580 3.24.4.1.3 Expected Actions – Order Placer

The Order Placer shall populate this message with information as described in ITI-31 Patient Encounter Management for the Pending Admit message. The actor shall include a PV2 Segment as defined in PCC-23 in this technical framework. That segment shall include information about Admit Reason, Visit User Code (Isolation Requirements), Expected Admit Date/Time, Expected Surgery Date/Time, Admission Level of Care (Acuity), and Precaution Code when known.

The Order Placer may also include Expected Discharge Date/Time, Estimated Length of Inpatient Stay, and Expected Discharge Disposition.

3.24.4.1.4 Expected Actions – Bed Manager

The Bed Manager shall acknowledge receipt of this message, and take appropriate actions to initiate allocation of a bed based upon whether this is a heads up notification or an actual admission.

The Bed Manager shall send this message to the Emergency Department Information System and the Tracker to notify these actors of the bed assignment.

3.24.4.1.5 Expected Actions – Tracker

595 The Tracker shall acknowledge receipt of this message. It has no other expected actions defined by this profile.

3.24.4.1.6 Expected Actions – Emergency Department Information System

The Emergency Department Information System shall acknowledge receipt of this message. It shall notify the ED staff that a bed is now available for the assigned patient.

600 3.24.5 Security Considerations

ADT Messages used in this transaction are typically communicated within an institution. The actors using this transaction may be grouped with a Secure Node or Secure Application as defined in the IHE Audit Trail and Node Authentication (ATNA) Integration Profile to secure the communications.

605 3.24.5.1 Security Audit Record

When grouped with the Secure Node or Secure Application Actors from ATNA, actors in this profile must generate audit messages. This transaction is to be audited as "Patient Care Episode" event, as defined in ITI TF-2a: Table 3.20.6-1. The following tables show items that are required to be part of the audit record for this transaction.

610 3.24.5.1.1 Admission audit message

| | Field Name | Opt | Value Constraints | |
|---|-----------------------|-----|---|--|
| Event | EventID | М | EV(IHE0004, IHE, "Patient Care Episode") | |
| AuditMessage/ EventIdentification | EventActionCode | М | "C" (create) | |
| Eventuentineation | EventDateTime | М | not specialized | |
| | EventOutcomeIndicator | М | not specialized | |
| | EventTypeCode | М | EV("PCC-24", "IHE Transactions", "Admission Order") | |
| Source (Order P | Placer) (1) | • | | |
| Destination (Bed Manager or Tracker or both) (1*) | | | | |
| Audit Source (1) | | | | |
| Patient (1) | | | | |

Where:

| Source | UserID | U | not specialized |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110153, DCM, "Source") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

| Destination | UserID | U | not specialized |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110152, DCM, "Destination") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

| Audit Source | AuditSourceID | U | not specialized |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| AuditMessage/ AuditSourceIdentification | AuditEnterpriseSiteID | U | not specialized |
| Hudidobarcerdentification | AuditSourceTypeCode | U | not specialized |

615

| Human | UserID | М | identity of the human that initiated the transaction. |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----|---|
| Requestor (if | AlternativeUserID | U | not specialized |
| known) | UserName | U | not specialized |
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | U | Access Control role(s) the user holds that allows this transaction. |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | NA | |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | NA | |

| Patient | ParticipantObjectTypeCode | М | "1" (person) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| (AuditMessage/ ParticipantObjectIdentif | ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole | М | "1" (patient) |
| ication) | ParticipantObjectDataLifeCycle | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode | М | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectSensitivity | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectID | М | the patient ID in HL7 CX format (see ITI TF-2x: appendix E) |
| | ParticipantObjectName | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectQuery | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectDetail | М | Type=II (the literal string), Value=the value of message.id |

3.25 Patient Movement [PCC-25]

3.25.1 Scope

620 This transaction is used to notify actors of patient movement from one location to another.

3.25.2 Actor Roles




Figure 3.25.2-1: Use Case Diagram

625


Table 3.25.2-1: Actor Roles

| Actor: | Emergency Department Information System |
|--------|---|
| Role: | Patient Encounter Supplier |
| Role: | Patient Encounter Consumer |
| Actor: | Tracker |
| Role: | Patient Encounter Consumer |
| Actor: | Bed Manager |
| Role: | Patient Encounter Consumer |
| Role: | Patient Encounter Supplier |

3.25.3 Referenced Standards

HL7 Version 2.5

3.25.4 Interaction Diagram



630

3.25.4.1 Patient Movement

The semantics, interactions, and expected actions, of the Patient Movement messages are as specified in ITI TF 2b: 3.31.26-29 as described in the ITI-31 Patient Encounter Management transaction.

635 3.25.5 Security Considerations

ADT Messages used in this transaction are typically communicated within an institution. The actors using this transaction may be grouped with a Secure Node or Secure Application Actor as defined in the IHE Audit Trail and Node Authentication (ATNA) Integration Profile to secure the communications.

640 3.25.5.1 Security Audit Record

When grouped with the Secure Node or Secure Application Actors from ATNA, actors in this profile must generate audit messages. This transaction is to be audited as "Patient Care Episode" event, as defined in ITI TF-2a: Table 3.20.6-1. The following tables show items that are required to be part of the audit record for this transaction.

645 **3.25.5.1.1 Admission audit message**

| | Field Name | Opt | Value Constraints | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|---|--|--|
| Event | EventID | М | EV(IHE0004, IHE, "Patient Care Episode") | | |
| AuditMessage/ EventIdentification | EventActionCode | М | "U" (update) | | |
| Eventidentification | EventDateTime | М | not specialized | | |
| | EventOutcomeIndicator | М | not specialized | | |
| | EventTypeCode | М | EV("PCC-24", "IHE Transactions", "Patient Admission") | | |
| Source (Order I | Placer) (1) | • | | | |
| Destination (Be | Destination (Bed Manager or Tracker or both) (1*) | | | | |
| Audit Source (1) | | | | | |
| Patient (1) | Patient (1) | | | | |

Where:

| where. | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Source | UserID | U | not specialized |
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110153, DCM, "Source") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

| Destination | UserID | U | not specialized |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | AlternativeUserID | М | the process ID as used within the local operating system in the local system logs. |
| | UserName | U | not specialized |
| | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | М | EV(110152, DCM, "Destination") |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | М | "1" for machine (DNS) name, "2" for IP address |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | М | the machine name or IP address. |

| Audit Source | AuditSourceID | U | not specialized |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| AuditMessage/ AuditSourceIdentification | AuditEnterpriseSiteID | U | not specialized |
| | AuditSourceTypeCode | U | not specialized |

| Human | UserID | М | identity of the human that initiated the transaction. |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----|---|
| Requestor (if | AlternativeUserID | U | not specialized |
| known) | UserName | U | not specialized |
| AuditMessage/ ActiveParticipant | UserIsRequestor | U | not specialized |
| | RoleIDCode | U | Access Control role(s) the user holds that allows this transaction. |
| | NetworkAccessPointTypeCode | NA | |
| | NetworkAccessPointID | NA | |

IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement – Bed Management (BED)

| Patient | ParticipantObjectTypeCode | М | "1" (person) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| (AuditMessage/ ParticipantObjectIdentif | ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole | М | "1" (patient) |
| ication) | ParticipantObjectDataLifeCycle | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode | М | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectSensitivity | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectID | М | the patient ID in HL7 CX format (see ITI TF-2x: appendix E) |
| | ParticipantObjectName | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectQuery | U | not specialized |
| | ParticipantObjectDetail | М | Type=II (the literal string), Value=the value of message.id |